Our group stands Resolved: The US Federal Government should implement a policy to significantly…….

In order to clarify the parameters of this discussion, we will offer the following definitions, taken from \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ .

*
*
*
*

Contention I: Inherency

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a barrier to solving the problem you’ve noted)

Evidence:

Source: (Name, Date, Publication, Page Numbers, Website, etc

Tag Line: (A brief summary statement of the card text that will follow)

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of a barrier this is and how insurmountable it may seem to get over UNLESS we pass your plan text which will come.

Contention II: Harms

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

Hence, the Plan:

Here you will denote what your plan will be that you will prove below you can use to FIX the stated harms above. This plan can include simple things about repealing a law, kicking immigrants out of the US….whatever you can PROVE will solve the issues you’ve outlined. This does not have to be elaborate but does need to be the thing that will fix the harms you’ve laid out in your case above.

Contention III: Solvency

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

Evidence:

Source: (See Above)

1. (Tag Line)

Analysis: (If you feel it’s needed; this will show (in your own words) how this issue proves to be a significant harm which warrants to solving via the plan your team will outline later. )

Feel free to add subpoints or other pieces of evidence to show how large of an issue this is and how bad the impacts are. This will warrant the change for which your team will advocate.

THUS WE URGE AN AFFIMATIVE VOTE IN TODAY’S ROUND.